Contents

TA	DI		1
IΑ	ĸ	ı -	- 1

Recommendation Status Summary

Subcommittee 5 on Corrections, Public Safety and the Judiciary

Report Number I2016-1 Investigations of Improper Activities by State Agencies and Employees: Misuse of State Resources, Forgery, False Time Reporting, Financial Interests Disclosure Violations, and Waste of State Funds (February 2016)

1

Report Number 2015-115 Dually Involved Youth:

The State Cannot Determine the Effectiveness of Efforts to Serve Youth Who Are Involved in Both the Child Welfare and Juvenile Justice Systems (February 2016)

1

Report Number 2015-047 The State Bar of California: Its Lack of Transparency Has Undermined Its Communications With Decision Makers and Stakeholders (May 2016)

3

Report Number 2015-129 King City Police Department: Strengthening Management Practices Would Help Its Efforts to Prevent Officer Misconduct and to Regain the Public's Trust (July 2016)

4

Report Number 2015-130 The CalGang Criminal Intelligence System: As the Result of Its Weak Oversight Structure, It Contains Questionable Information That May Violate Individuals' Privacy

6

Report Number 2016-301 Judicial Branch Procurement:

Rights (August 2016)

The Five Superior Courts We Reviewed Mostly Adhered to Required and Recommended Practices, but Some Improvements Are Needed (November 2016)

10

Report Number I2017-1 Investigations of Improper Activities by State Agencies and Employees: Misuse of Resources, Inaccurate Attendance Records, Disclosure of Confidential Information, and Improper Payments (March 2017)

12

Report Number 2016-126 California Department of

Social Services: Its Caregiver Background Check Bureau Lacks Criminal History Information It Needs to Protect Vulnerable Populations in Licensed Care Facilities (March 2017)

12

Report Number 2017-030 The State Bar of California:

It Needs Additional Revisions to Its Expense Policies to Ensure That It Uses Funds Prudently (June 2017)

13

Report Number 2016-131 California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation: It Must Increase Its Efforts to Prevent and Respond to Inmate Suicides (August 2017)	15
Report Number 2016-136 School Violence Prevention: School Districts, County Offices of Education, and the State Must Do More to Ensure That School Safety Plans Help Protect Students and Staff During Emergencies (August 2017)	17
Report Number 12017-2 Investigations of Improper Activities by State Agencies and Employees: Inaccurate Attendance Records, Violation of State Laws, and Misuse of State Resources (October 2017)	17
Report Number 2017-101 Concealed Carry Weapon Licenses: Sheriffs Have Implemented Their Local Programs Inconsistently and Sometimes Inadequately (December 2017)	17
Report Number 2017-302 Judicial Council of California: It Needs to Follow Competitive Bidding Processes More Consistently and Establish Clear Guidance for Invoice Processing (December 2017)	19

CTATUS OF

Table 1Recommendation Status Summary

Senate Budget & Fiscal Review Subcommittee 5 on Corrections, Public Safety and the Judiciary

Report Number 12016-1

Investigations of Improper Activities by State Agencies and Employees: Misuse of State Resources, Forgery, False Time Reporting, Financial Interests Disclosure Violations, and Waste of State Funds (February 2016)

RECOMMENDATION	RECOMMENDATION	ENTITY
12. California Correctional Health Care Services (Correctional Health Care) should work, as necessary, with the state agency that currently employs the supervising nurse to require him either to correct his 2014 time sheets by using earned leave for the 14 workdays when he improperly claimed military leave or to pay the State \$5,988 for the leave he improperly claimed on the 14 workdays.	Fully Implemented	California Correctional Health Care Services
13. Correctional Health Care should work with the state agency that currently employs the supervising nurse to coordinate the appropriate disciplinary action to address the supervising nurse's improper activities, including his forging of documents and his dishonesty.	Resolved	California Correctional Health Care Services
 Correctional Health Care should notify the proper military officials regarding the supervising nurse's creation of falsified and forged military documents. 	Fully Implemented	California Correctional Health Care Services

Report Number 2015-115

Dually Involved Youth: The State Cannot Determine the Effectiveness of Efforts to Serve Youth Who Are Involved in Both the Child Welfare and Juvenile Justice Systems (February 2016)

	RECOMMENDATION	STATUS OF RECOMMENDATION	ENTITY
3.	To better understand and serve the dually involved youth population, the Legislature should require the Judicial Council of California (Judicial Council) to work with county child welfare services (CWS) and probation agencies and state representatives to establish a committee, or to work with an existing committee, to develop a common identifier counties can use to reconcile data across CWS and probation data systems statewide.	Legislation Enacted	Legislature
4.	To better understand and serve the dually involved youth population, the Legislature should require the Judicial Council to work with county CWS and probation agencies and state representatives to establish a committee, or to work with an existing committee, to develop standardized definitions for terms related to the populations of youth involved in both the CWS and probation systems, such as dually involved, crossover, and dual status youth.	Legislation Enacted	Legislature
5.	To better understand and serve the dually involved youth population, the Legislature should require the Judicial Council to work with county CWS and probation agencies and state representatives to establish a committee, or to work with an existing committee, to identify and define outcomes for counties to track for dually involved youth, such as outcomes related to recidivism and education.	Legislation Enacted	Legislature
6.	To better understand and serve the dually involved youth population, the Legislature should require the Judicial Council to work with county CWS and probation agencies and state representatives to establish a committee, or to work with an existing committee, to establish baselines and goals for those outcomes.	Legislation Enacted	Legislature
7.	To better understand and serve the dually involved youth population, the Legislature should require the Judicial Council to work with county CWS and probation agencies and state representatives to establish a committee, or to work with an existing committee, to share the common identifier, definitions, and outcomes with the Legislature, for their consideration to require counties to utilize and track these elements.	Legislation Enacted	Legislature

RECOMMENDATION	STATUS OF RECOMMENDATION	ENTITY
 If the State enacts data-related requirements, it should require the Judicial Council's committee to compile and publish county data two years after the start of county data collection requirements. 	Legislation Enacted	Legislature
 Alameda County probation department should update its existing procedures to ensure that its staff are accurately recording family reunification service components within the statewide case management system. 	Pending	Alameda County
 Sacramento County probation department should update its existing procedures to ensure that its staff are accurately recording family reunification service components within the statewide case management system. 	Fully Implemented	Sacramento County
11. To identify their population of dually involved youth, Alameda County's CWS and probation agencies should designate the data system they will use for tracking the dates and results of joint assessment hearings.	Pending	Alameda County
12. To identify their population of dually involved youth, Kern County's CWS and probation agencies should designate the data system they will use for tracking the dates and results of joint assessment hearings.	Fully Implemented	Kern County
13. To identify their population of dually involved youth, Los Angeles County's CWS and probation agencies should designate the data system they will use for tracking the dates and results of joint assessment hearings.	Fully Implemented	Los Angeles County
14. To identify their population of dually involved youth, Riverside County's CWS and probation agencies should designate the data system they will use for tracking the dates and results of joint assessment hearings.	Fully Implemented	Riverside County
15. To identify their population of dually involved youth, Sacramento County's CWS and probation agencies should designate the data system they will use for tracking the dates and results of joint assessment hearings.	Fully Implemented	Sacramento County
16. To identify their population of dually involved youth, Santa Clara County's CWS and probation agencies should designate the data system they will use for tracking the dates and results of joint assessment hearings.	Will Not Implement	Santa Clara County
17. To identify their population of dually involved youth, Alameda County's CWS and probation agencies should provide guidance or training to staff on recording joint assessment hearing information consistently within the designated system.	Pending	Alameda County
18. To identify their population of dually involved youth, Kern County's CWS and probation agencies should provide guidance or training to staff on recording joint assessment hearing information consistently within the designated system.	Fully Implemented	Kern County
19. To identify their population of dually involved youth, Los Angeles County's CWS and probation agencies should provide guidance or training to staff on recording joint assessment hearing information consistently within the designated system.	Fully Implemented	Los Angeles County
20. To identify their population of dually involved youth, Riverside County's CWS and probation agencies should provide guidance or training to staff on recording joint assessment hearing information consistently within the designated system.	Fully Implemented	Riverside County
21. To identify their population of dually involved youth, Sacramento County's CWS and probation agencies should provide guidance or training to staff on recording joint assessment hearing information consistently within the designated system.	Fully Implemented	Sacramento County
22. To identify their population of dually involved youth, Santa Clara County's CWS and probation agencies should provide guidance or training to staff on recording joint assessment hearing information consistently within the designated system.	Will Not Implement	Santa Clara County

Report Number 2015-047

The State Bar of California: Its Lack of Transparency Has Undermined Its Communications With Decision Makers and Stakeholders (May 2016)

RECOMMENDATION	STATUS OF RECOMMENDATION	ENTITY
 To reduce the length of time that victims of dishonest lawyers must wait for reimbursement from the Client Security Fund, the State Bar of California (State Bar) should continue to explore fund transfers, member fee increases, and operating efficiencies that would increase resources available for payouts. 	Pending	State Bar of California
 To ensure that it maximizes its cost-recovery efforts related to the Client Security Fund, the State Bar should adopt a policy to file for money judgments against disciplined attorneys for all eligible amounts as soon as possible after courts settle the discipline cases. 	Partially Implemented	State Bar of California
To ensure that it maximizes its cost-recovery efforts related to the Client Security Fund, the State Bar should adopt a policy to evaluate annually the effectiveness of the various collection methods it uses to recover funds from disciplined attorneys.	Pending	State Bar of California
4. To reduce the risk of errors in financial reporting, the State Bar should update its procedures to include guidance on detailed steps that staff should take to prepare financial statements and to ensure that the statements are accurate and complete.	Fully Implemented	State Bar of California
5. To reduce the risk of errors in financial reporting, the State Bar should update its procedures on management's review and approval of financial statements.	Fully Implemented	State Bar of California
To increase the transparency and comparability of its financial information, the State Bar should limit significant changes in its indirect cost reporting.	Fully Implemented	State Bar of California
 To increase the transparency and comparability of its financial information, the State Bar should clearly disclose any changes in its accounting practices. 	Fully Implemented	State Bar of California
8. To increase the transparency and comparability of its financial information, the State Bar should disclose the reasons for any significant changes to program costs.	Fully Implemented	State Bar of California
 To ensure that it accounts appropriately for information technology project costs and their related funding sources, the State Bar should develop a reasonable method for allocating information technology project costs. 	Pending	State Bar of California
10. To ensure that it accounts appropriately for information technology project costs and their related funding sources, the State Bar should apply its new cost-allocation method to the costs of its Technology Improvement Fund.	Pending	State Bar of California
11. To ensure it informs stakeholders of conditions that may affect its policy and programmatic decisions, the State Bar should document the assumptions and methodology underlying its budget estimates.	Fully Implemented	State Bar of California
12. To ensure it informs stakeholders of conditions that may affect its policy and programmatic decisions, the State Bar should concisely present its budget assumptions and methodology in the final budget document it provides to its board and the Legislature.	Fully Implemented	State Bar of California
13. To make certain that its budget documents conform to the requirements in state law and that they are comparable to prior budgets, the State Bar should establish a process for ensuring that budget documents conform to the requirements in state law.	Fully Implemented	State Bar of California
14. To make certain that its budget documents conform to the requirements in state law and that they are comparable to prior budgets, the State Bar should update its budget policies to require supplementary schedules and narratives for any budget in the year in which the State Bar implements changes to the presentation of its budget.	Fully Implemented	State Bar of California
15. To ensure that the State Bar's board can make informed decisions about its consultant's recommendations regarding budgeting and financial reporting, the State Bar should analyze the costs and benefits of implementing its consultant's recommendations about budgets and present this analysis to its board for consideration.	Fully Implemented	State Bar of California
16. To make certain that the Legislature is not limited in its ability to set member fees, the Legislature should require the State Bar to notify or seek its approval when the State Bar plans to pledge its member fee revenue for a period that exceeds 12 months or overlaps fiscal years.	No Action Taken	Legislature

RECOMMENDATION	STATUS OF RECOMMENDATION	ENTITY
17. To ensure that it retains appropriate supervision and control over the State Bar's financial affairs, the board should establish a policy that includes a description of the parameters for the creation of nonprofit organizations limiting such organizations to the purposes consistent with the law and the State Bar's mission.	No Action Taken	State Bar of California
18. To ensure that it retains appropriate supervision and control over the State Bar's financial affairs, the board should establish a policy that includes a description of the board's oversight role in relation to the State Bar's nonprofit organizations.	No Action Taken	State Bar of California
19. To ensure that it retains appropriate supervision and control over the State Bar's financial affairs, the board should establish a policy that includes requirements to make sure that the board reviews and approves all documents the State Bar uses in the creation and use of a nonprofit organization, including original and amended bylaws as well as agreements between the State Bar and the organization.	No Action Taken	State Bar of California
20. To ensure that it retains appropriate supervision and control over the State Bar's financial affairs, the board should establish a policy that includes requirements ensuring that the board reviews, approves, and monitors regularly the budgets and other financial reports of any nonprofit organizations.	No Action Taken	State Bar of California
21. To ensure that it retains appropriate supervision and control over the State Bar's financial affairs, the board should establish a policy that includes requirements that the State Bar develop policies and procedures to prevent the mingling of its funds and any nonprofit organization's funds.	No Action Taken	State Bar of California
22. To improve its oversight of the State Bar's financial affairs, the Legislature should require the State Bar to disclose the creation of and use of nonprofit organizations, including the nonprofits' annual budgets and reports on their financial condition explaining the sources and uses of the nonprofits' funding.	No Action Taken	Legislature
23. To ensure that the compensation it provides its executives is reasonable, the State Bar should include in the comprehensive salary and benefits study that it plans to complete by October 2016 data for the salaries and benefits for comparable positions in the state government's executive branch.	Fully Implemented	State Bar of California
24. To ensure that the compensation it provides its executives is reasonable, the State Bar should revise its policy for housing allowances and relocation expenses to align with the requirements in the state law that are applicable to managerial employees.	Fully Implemented	State Bar of California

Report Number 2015-129

 $King\ City\ Police\ Department: Strengthening\ Management\ Practices\ Would\ Help\ Its\ Efforts\ to\ Prevent\ Officer\ Misconduct\ and\ to\ Regain\ the\ Public's\ Trust\ (July\ 2016)$

	RECOMMENDATION	STATUS OF RECOMMENDATION	ENTITY
1.	To provide for a more comprehensive review of complaints and to ensure that the public is aware of the King City Police Department's (King City PD) willingness to receive complaints in an open manner, the Department should update its complaint policy by December 2016 to require the chief to review all supervisor decisions related to personnel complaints.	Fully Implemented	King City
2.	To provide for a more comprehensive review of complaints and to ensure that the public is aware of the King City PD's willingness to receive complaints in an open manner, the King City PD should modify its website by December 2016 to state explicitly its openness to accepting all complaints, and inform members of the public that complaint forms may be filed at city hall, through its website, or during its community presentations. Similarly, the King City PD should more prominently display complaint option information in its lobby.	Fully Implemented	King City
3.	To provide for a more comprehensive review of complaints and to ensure that the public is aware of the King City PD's willingness to receive complaints in an open manner, the King City PD should perform outreach by December 2016, such as through informal surveys, to identify potentially unreported complaints.	Fully Implemented	King City
4.	To provide for a more comprehensive review of complaints and to ensure that the public is aware of the King City PD's willingness to receive complaints in an open manner, the King City PD should reach out to community organizations by December 2016 to connect with members of the community who may have complaints.	Fully Implemented	King City

RECOMMENDATION	STATUS OF RECOMMENDATION	ENTITY
5. King City should consider whether to establish a community advisory group, ombudsman position, or city council committee as an additional resource for receiving complaints.	Fully Implemented	King City
To better manage its operations related to issuing traffic citations, the King City PD should implement a process by March 2017 to verify quarterly the accuracy and completeness of the data in its records management system.	Fully Implemented	King City
 To better manage its operations related to issuing traffic citations, the King City PD should develop a process by March 2017 to conduct a quarterly review of its traffic citation statistics and follow up with its officers as needed to ensure a consistent enforcement strategy. 	Fully Implemented	King City
 To hold its officers accountable, the King City PD should implement a policy by September 2016 to document the patrol directives, such as citation and enforcement strategies, that it provides to officers. 	Fully Implemented	King City
9. To ensure that its policies are consistent with the city council's directives and that it can hold its officers accountable for them, the King City PD should immediately update its towing policy to reflect the March 2014 city council resolution that officers not tow for minor traffic offenses if the vehicle is legally parked, registered, and insured; that officers must receive sergeant authorization for all tows; and that the King City PD provide monthly tow reports to the city manager. The King City PD should also specify in its policy that officers must receive two trainings each year on the updated towing policy.	Fully Implemented	King City
10. To address community and city management concerns about its towing of vehicles, the King City PD should provide additional information in its monthly towing report by December 2016 about the reason that a vehicle was stopped and the reason the King City PD needed to tow the vehicle.	Fully Implemented	King City
11. To address community and city management concerns about its towing of vehicles, the King City PD should implement a process by December 2016 for the chief to review the monthly tow reports and follow up on any cases related to unlicensed drivers to determine if the reasons for the tows comply with the directives from city council.	Fully Implemented	King City
12. To ensure that gasoline cards are used consistently and appropriately, the King City PD should develop a formal process by December 2016 for monitoring gasoline invoices and transactions. The King City PD should implement a policy that includes a requirement for gasoline cards to be issued to patrol vehicles as a means to track gasoline usage against vehicle mileage. The requirement should also include a description of how the King City PD will identify the officer responsible for each gasoline card purchase, either by assigning a unique identifier to each officer or by using a vehicle assignment log.	Fully Implemented	King City
13. To ensure that gasoline cards are used consistently and appropriately, the King City PD should develop a formal process by December 2016 for monitoring gasoline invoices and transactions. The King City PD should implement a policy that includes procedures for obtaining explanations for any gasoline purchase that appears out of the ordinary.	Fully Implemented	King City
14. To ensure that it is appropriately maintaining custody of evidence items and complying with Peace Office Standards and Training (POST) recommendations, the King City PD should conduct a comprehensive inventory to develop an accurate list of evidence and property.	Pending	King City
15. To ensure that it is appropriately maintaining custody of evidence items and complying with POST recommendations, the King City PD should update by September 2016 its evidence oversight policies to provide explicit direction, based on POST guidelines, to staff and management who perform evidence oversight activities.	Fully Implemented	King City
16. To ensure that it is appropriately maintaining custody of evidence items and complying with POST recommendations, the King City PD should perform its monthly evidence inspections per its policy.	Fully Implemented	King City
17. To ensure that it is appropriately maintaining custody of evidence items and complying with POST recommendations, the King City PD should develop a process by September 2016 for the chief to review compliance with the monthly inspection requirement.	Fully Implemented	King City
18. To ensure that it is appropriately maintaining custody of evidence items and complying with POST recommendations, the King City PD should perform annual audits that are thorough and well documented.	Pending	King City

6

RECOMMENDATION	STATUS OF RECOMMENDATION	ENTITY
19. To ensure that it uses consistent standards to evaluate whether information found in assessments of an officer candidate's moral character could lead to conflicts of interest, the city council should establish screening criteria for the types of activities and circumstances it considers to be incompatible with the official duties of an officer, such as in the areas of an officer candidate's past drug use, amount of debt, and number of traffic citations received.	Fully Implemented	King City
20. To minimize the potential for conflicts of interest, the King City PD should develop a written policy by September 2016 that formalizes its practice of preventing officers from being involved in cases associated with their family members.	Fully Implemented	King City
21. To comply with state regulations, to better assess candidates' ability to interact effectively with the community and other officers, and to ensure consistency, the King City PD should by September 2016 document its interview questions, including at least one question from each of the six areas in the POST interview guidelines.	Fully Implemented	King City
22. To work more effectively with community members, the King City PD should solicit and incorporate feedback from the community and create a formal community engagement plan that is tailored to meet community needs by December 2016.	Fully Implemented	King City
23. To work more effectively with community members, the King City PD should implement a process by September 2016 for the chief to review all promotional materials and presentations and ensure they are communicated in both English and Spanish, where appropriate, according to the direction provided by the city manager.	Fully Implemented	King City
24. To provide feedback and information to the King City PD, the city council should consider the formation of a community advisory group.	Fully Implemented	King City
25. To ensure that its policy is being implemented correctly and to prevent further inappropriate reimbursements, King City should monitor at least semiannually the implementation of its new policy on expense approvals that it adopted in February 2016.	Fully Implemented	King City
26. To ensure that the King City PD appropriately budgets for planned expenses, King City should continue to monitor its new policy on budget development that it adopted in January 2016. Specifically, the chief should monitor the budgeted and actual expenses of the King City PD each month, paying close attention to any areas where those expenses vary significantly from the amount budgeted, and discuss this analysis with the city manager each quarter to identify any weaknesses in its budget process.	Fully Implemented	King City

Report Number 2015-130

The CalGang Criminal Intelligence System: As the Result of Its Weak Oversight Structure, It Contains Questionable Information That May Violate Individuals' Privacy Rights (August 2016)

	RECOMMENDATION	STATUS OF RECOMMENDATION	ENTITY
1.	To ensure that CalGang, or any equivalent statewide shared gang database, has an oversight structure that supports accountability for proper database use and for protecting individuals' rights, the Legislature should designate the Department of Justice (Justice) as the state agency responsible for administering and overseeing CalGang or any equivalent statewide shared gang database.	Legislation Enacted	Legislature
2.	To ensure that CalGang, or any equivalent statewide shared gang database, has an oversight structure that supports accountability for proper database use and for protecting individuals' rights, the Legislature should require that CalGang or any equivalent statewide shared gang database adhere to federal regulations and relevant safeguards from the state guidelines, including supervisory reviews of database entries and regular reviews of all records.	Legislation Enacted	Legislature
3.	To ensure that CalGang, or any equivalent statewide shared gang database, has an oversight structure that supports accountability for proper database use and for protecting individuals' rights, the Legislature should specify that Justice's oversight responsibilities include developing and implementing standardized periodic training as well as conducting—or hiring an external entity to conduct— periodic audits of CalGang or any equivalent statewide shared gang database.	Legislation Enacted	Legislature

	RECOMMENDATION	STATUS OF RECOMMENDATION	ENTITY
4.	To promote public participation in key issues that may affect California's citizens and to help ensure consistency in the use of any shared gang database, the Legislature should require Justice to interpret and implement shared gang database requirements through the regulatory process. This process should include public hearings and should address adopting requirements for entering and reviewing gang designations, including establishing a retention period for gangs.	Legislation Enacted	Legislature
5.	To promote public participation in key issues that may affect California's citizens and to help ensure consistency in the use of any shared gang database, the Legislature should require Justice to interpret and implement shared gang database requirements through the regulatory process. This process should include public hearings and should address adopting criteria for identifying gang members. These criteria should define which offenses are consistent with gang activity.	Legislation Enacted	Legislature
6.	To promote public participation in key issues that may affect California's citizens and to help ensure consistency in the use of any shared gang database, the Legislature should require Justice to interpret and implement shared gang database requirements through the regulatory process. This process should include public hearings and should address specifying how user agencies will operate any statewide shared gang database, including requiring user agencies to implement supervisory review procedures and periodic record reviews. The user agencies should report the results of the reviews to Justice.	Legislation Enacted	Legislature
7.	To promote public participation in key issues that may affect California's citizens and to help ensure consistency in the use of any shared gang database, the Legislature should require Justice to interpret and implement shared gang database requirements through the regulatory process. This process should include public hearings and should address standardizing practices for user agencies to adhere to the State's juvenile notification requirements, including guidelines for documenting and communicating the bases for juveniles' gang designations.	Legislation Enacted	Legislature
8.	To ensure transparency, the Legislature should require Justice to publish an annual report with key shared gang database statistics—such as the number of individuals added to and removed from the database—and summary results from periodic audits conducted by Justice or an external entity. Further, the Legislature should require Justice to invite and assess public comments following the report's release. Subsequent annual reports should summarize any public comments Justice received and actions it took in response.	Legislation Enacted	Legislature
9.	To help ensure that Justice has the technical information it needs to make certain that CalGang or any equivalent shared gang database remains an important law enforcement tool, the Legislature should establish a technical advisory committee to advise Justice about database use, database needs, database protection, and any necessary updates to policies and procedures. The Legislature should specify the qualifications for membership in the technical advisory committee, which should include representatives from local and state agencies that use the shared gang database. Further, it should require that the committee meet at least twice a year and adhere to the Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act and other relevant open-meeting laws.	Legislation Enacted	Legislature
10.	As the Legislature considers creating a public program for shared gang database oversight and accountability, Justice should guide the board and the committee to identify and address the shortcomings that exist in CalGang's current operations and oversight. The guidance Justice provides to the board and the committee should address, but not be limited to, developing best practices based on the requirements stated in the federal regulations, the state guidelines and state law, and advising user agencies on the implementation of those practices. The best practices should include, but not be limited to reviewing criminal intelligence, appropriately disseminating information, performing robust audit practices, establishing plans to recover from disasters, and meeting all of the State's juvenile notification law requirements. Justice should guide the board and the committee to develop these best practices by June 30, 2017.	Pending	Department of Justice
11.	As the Legislature considers creating a public program for shared gang database oversight and accountability, Justice should guide the board and the committee to identify and address the shortcomings that exist in CalGang's current operations and oversight. The guidance Justice provides to the board and the committee should address, but not be limited to, instructing user agencies that use CalGang to complete a comprehensive review of all the gangs documented in CalGang to determine if they meet the necessary requirements for inclusion and to purge from CalGang any groups that do not meet the requirements. Justice should guide the board and the committee to ensure that user agencies complete this review in phases, with the final phase to be completed by June 30, 2018.	Pending	Department of Justice

8

RECOMMENDATION	STATUS OF RECOMMENDATION	ENTITY
20. To promote transparency and encourage public participation in CalGang's meetings, Justice should post the agendas, minutes, and referenced attachments for all future board and committee meetings, as well as all other documents of significance such as letters, memos, or agreements to its website unless doing so would compromise criminal intelligence information or other information that must be shielded from public release.	Fully Implemented	Department of Justice
21. To promote transparency and encourage public participation in CalGang's meetings, Justice should post from the past five years, all available agendas, minutes, and referenced attachments from scheduled and ad hoc board and committee meetings, as well as all other documents of significance. Justice should post these materials by October 31, 2016, to its website unless doing so would compromise criminal intelligence information or other information that must be shielded from public release.	Fully Implemented	Department of Justice
22. If Justice believes it needs additional resources to guide the board and the committee to identify and address the shortcomings that exist in CalGang's current operations and oversight, to report on the board and committee's progress in addressing CalGang's shortcomings, and to post necessary information to its website, Justice should take steps to secure the resources it needs.	Fully Implemented	Department of Justice
23. Until the Los Angeles Police Department receives further direction from the board, the committee, or Justice, it should address the specific deficiencies we found by reviewing the gangs it has entered into CalGang to ensure the gangs meet reasonable suspicion requirements. It should also begin reviewing the gang members it has entered into CalGang to ensure the existence of proper support for each criterion. It should purge from CalGang any records for gangs or gang members that do not meet the criteria for entry. Individuals who are independent from the ongoing administration and use of CalGang should lead this review. The agency should complete the gang and gang member reviews in phases, with the final phase for gangs to be completed by June 30, 2018, and the final phase for gang members to be completed by June 30, 2019.	Pending	Los Angeles Police Department
24. Until Los Angeles Police Department receives further direction from the board, the committee, or Justice, it should address the specific deficiencies we found by developing or modifying as necessary all its policies and procedures related to CalGang to ensure they align with state law, CalGang policy, the federal regulations, and the state guidelines. In particular, the agency should implement appropriate policies and procedures for entering gangs; performing supervisory reviews of gang and gang member entries; performing periodic CalGang record reviews; sharing CalGang information; and complying with juvenile notification requirements. The agency should complete this recommendation by March 31, 2017.	Pending	Los Angeles Police Department
25. Until Santa Ana Police Department receives further direction from the board, the committee, or Justice, it should address the specific deficiencies we found by reviewing the gangs it has entered into CalGang to ensure the gangs meet reasonable suspicion requirements. It should also begin reviewing the gang members it has entered into CalGang to ensure the existence of proper support for each criterion. It should purge from CalGang any records for gangs or gang members that do not meet the criteria for entry. Individuals who are independent from the ongoing administration and use of CalGang should lead this review. The agency should complete the gang and gang member reviews in phases, with the final phase for gangs to be completed by June 30, 2018, and the final phase for gang members to be completed by June 30, 2019.	Partially Implemented	Santa Ana Police Department
26. Until Santa Ana Police Department receives further direction from the board, the committee, or Justice, it should address the specific deficiencies we found by developing or modifying as necessary all its policies and procedures related to CalGang to ensure they align with state law, CalGang policy, the federal regulations, and the state guidelines. In particular, the agency should implement appropriate policies and procedures for entering gangs; performing supervisory reviews of gang and gang member entries; performing periodic CalGang record reviews; sharing CalGang information; and complying with juvenile notification requirements. The agency should complete this recommendation by March 31, 2017.	Fully Implemented	Santa Ana Police Department

RECOMMENDATION	STATUS OF RECOMMENDATION	ENTITY
27. Until Santa Clara County Sheriff's Office receives further direction from the board, the committee, or Justice, it should address the specific deficiencies we found by reviewing the gangs it has entered into CalGang to ensure the gangs meet reasonable suspicion requirements. It should also begin reviewing the gang members it has entered into CalGang to ensure the existence of proper support for each criterion. It should purge from CalGang any records for gangs or gang members that do not meet the criteria for entry. Individuals who are independent from the ongoing administration and use of CalGang should lead this review. The agency should complete the gang and gang member reviews in phases, with the final phase for gangs to be completed by June 30, 2018, and the final phase for gang members to be completed by June 30, 2019.	Pending	Santa Clara County Sheriff's Office
28. Until Santa Clara County Sheriff's Office receives further direction from the board, the committee, or Justice, it should address the specific deficiencies we found by developing or modifying as necessary all its policies and procedures related to CalGang to ensure they align with state law, CalGang policy, the federal regulations, and the state guidelines. In particular, the agency should implement appropriate policies and procedures for entering gangs; performing supervisory reviews of gang and gang member entries; performing periodic CalGang record reviews; sharing CalGang information; and complying with juvenile notification requirements. The agency should complete this recommendation by March 31, 2017.	Pending	Santa Clara County Sheriff's Office
29. Until Sonoma County Sheriff's Office receives further direction from the board, the committee, or Justice, it should address the specific deficiencies we found by reviewing the gangs it has entered into CalGang to ensure the gangs meet reasonable suspicion requirements. It should also begin reviewing the gang members it has entered into CalGang to ensure the existence of proper support for each criterion. It should purge from CalGang any records for gangs or gang members that do not meet the criteria for entry. Individuals who are independent from the ongoing administration and use of CalGang should lead this review. The agency should complete the gang and gang member reviews in phases, with the final phase for gangs to be completed by June 30, 2018, and the final phase for gang members to be completed by June 30, 2019.	Will Not Implement	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office
30. Until Sonoma County Sheriff's Office receives further direction from the board, the committee, or Justice, it should address the specific deficiencies we found by developing or modifying as necessary all its policies and procedures related to CalGang to ensure they align with state law, CalGang policy, the federal regulations, and the state guidelines. In particular, the agency should implement appropriate policies and procedures for entering gangs; performing supervisory reviews of gang and gang member entries; performing periodic CalGang record reviews; sharing CalGang information; and complying with juvenile notification requirements. The agency should complete this recommendation by March 31, 2017.	Will Not Implement	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office

Report Number 2016-301

Judicial Branch Procurement: The Five Superior Courts We Reviewed Mostly Adhered to Required and Recommended Practices, but Some Improvements Are Needed (November 2016)

RECOMMENDATION	STATUS OF RECOMMENDATION	ENTITY
 The San Joaquin court should follow the requirements and recommended practices of the Judicial Council and the State to ensure that it obtains the best value for the goods and services it purchases through contracts. Specifically, the San Joaquin court should follow the recommended process for applicable noncompetitive procurements to ensure that vendors' prices are fair and reasonable. 	Fully Implemented	Superior Court of California, County of San Joaquin
2. The San Mateo court should follow the requirements and recommended practices of the Judicial Council and the State to ensure that it obtains the best value for the goods and services it purchases through contracts. Specifically, the San Mateo court should follow the recommended process for applicable noncompetitive procurements to ensure that vendors' prices are fair and reasonable.	Fully Implemented	Superior Court of California, County of San Mateo
3. The Tehama court should follow the requirements and recommended practices of the Judicial Council and the State to ensure that it obtains the best value for the goods and services it purchases through contracts. Specifically, the Tehama court should follow the recommended process for applicable noncompetitive procurements to ensure that vendors' prices are fair and reasonable.	Fully Implemented	Superior Court of California, County of Tehama

RECOMMENDATION	STATUS OF RECOMMENDATION	ENTITY
4. The San Joaquin court should follow the requirements and recommended practices of the Judicial Council and the State to ensure that it obtains the best value for the goods and services it purchases through contracts. Specifically, the San Joaquin court should follow the judicial contracting manual's recommendations for procurement processes, and it should provide and consistently retain in contract files its justifications for entering into contracts that it has not competitively bid.	Fully Implemented	Superior Court of California, County of San Joaquin
5. The San Mateo court should follow the requirements and recommended practices of the Judicial Council and the State to ensure that it obtains the best value for the goods and services it purchases through contracts. Specifically, the San Mateo court should follow the judicial contracting manual's recommendations for procurement processes, and it should provide and consistently retain in contract files its justifications for entering into contracts that it has not competitively bid.	Fully Implemented	Superior Court of California, County of San Mateo
6. The Tehama court should follow the requirements and recommended practices of the Judicial Council and the State to ensure that it obtains the best value for the goods and services it purchases through contracts. Specifically, the Tehama court should follow the judicial contracting manual's recommendations for procurement processes, and it should provide and consistently retain in contract files its justifications for entering into contracts that it has not competitively bid.	Fully Implemented	Superior Court of California, County of Tehama
7. The San Joaquin court should follow the requirements and recommended practices of the Judicial Council and the State to ensure that it obtains the best value for the goods and services it purchases through contracts. Specifically, the San Joaquin court should ensure that contracts include all required elements and are properly approved.	Fully Implemented	Superior Court of California, County of San Joaquin
8. The Tehama court should follow the requirements and recommended practices of the Judicial Council and the State to ensure that it obtains the best value for the goods and services it purchases through contracts. Specifically, the Tehama court should ensure that contracts include all required elements and are properly approved.	Fully Implemented	Superior Court of California, County of Tehama
9. To ensure that it properly authorizes payments and purchases only allowable items, the San Joaquin court should process payments in accordance with the requirements and recommended practices of the Judicial Council and the State. Specifically, the San Joaquin court should implement a process to ensure that its staff adheres to the requirements within its policy when exceeding the \$1,500 per transaction limit for purchase cards as established in the judicial contracting manual.	Fully Implemented	Superior Court of California, County of San Joaquin
10. To ensure that it properly authorizes payments and purchases only allowable items, the San Joaquin court should process payments in accordance with the requirements and recommended practices of the Judicial Council and the State. Specifically, the San Joaquin court should make sure that it is receiving the goods and services it ordered. It should also pay vendors only after verifying receipt of the goods or services.	Fully Implemented	Superior Court of California, County of San Joaquin
11. To ensure that it properly authorizes payments and purchases only allowable items, the San Mateo court should process payments in accordance with the requirements and recommended practices of the Judicial Council and the State. Specifically, the San Mateo court should make sure that it is receiving the goods and services it ordered. It should also pay vendors only after verifying receipt of the goods or services.	Fully Implemented	Superior Court of California, County of San Mateo
12. To ensure that it properly authorizes payments and purchases only allowable items, the San Mateo court should process payments in accordance with the requirements and recommended practices of the Judicial Council and the State. Specifically, the San Mateo court should take steps to ensure that appropriate employees authorize all payments.	Fully Implemented	Superior Court of California, County of San Mateo
13. To ensure that it properly authorizes payments and purchases only allowable items, the San Mateo court should process payments in accordance with the requirements and recommended practices of the Judicial Council and the State. Specifically, the San Mateo court should amend its bottled water service contract to ensure that water is purchased for use by jurors and court room staff only.	Will Not Implement	Superior Court of California, County of San Mateo

Report Number I2017-1

Investigations of Improper Activities by State Agencies and Employees: Misuse of Resources, Inaccurate Attendance Records, Disclosure of Confidential Information, and Improper Payments (March 2017)

	RECOMMENDATION	STATUS OF RECOMMENDATION	ENTITY
1.	The California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (Corrections) should require the parole agent to submit a personal use certification for the personal use of her assigned state vehicles from June 2015 to present.	Fully Implemented	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
2.	Corrections should review the duty statements of all employees within the parole division who have held the positions discussed in this report and who have state vehicles for their exclusive use to determine whether the assignments of state vehicles comply with the laws and policies of the State and the parole division. If Corrections determines that a vehicle assignment is appropriate, it should modify each employee's duty statement to indicate the percentage of time the employee should expect to perform fieldwork, ensure that the state vehicles assigned to these employees are not pool vehicles, and ensure that each employee has an approved home storage permit on file.	Fully Implemented	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
3.	Corrections should discontinue the practice of assigning pool vehicles for the exclusive use of individuals to circumvent state laws and parole division policies.	Fully Implemented	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
4.	Corrections should train all parole division employees who drive state vehicles about how to properly document their use of a state vehicle on their mileage logs, how to obtain a home storage permit and for whom it is necessary, how and when to submit a personal use certification reporting all personal commutes driven in a state vehicle.	Fully Implemented	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
5.	Corrections should train all parole division supervisors who oversee employees with state vehicles regarding the department's policy for the proper usage and storage of state vehicles.	Fully Implemented	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
14.	. Corrections should seek repayment from the program chief for the \$2,520 in improper payments.	Fully Implemented	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
15.	Corrections should revise the Institutional Worker Supervision Pay (IWSP) procedure to require that personnel staff review and ensure that an employee's direct supervisor signs the qualifying employee's timesheets and IWSP documents each month.	Partially Implemented	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
16.	. Corrections should ensure that all Corrections and Correctional Health Care organization charts are current and accurate and that the assigned personnel specialist has access to them.	Partially Implemented	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
17.	. Corrections should enforce its current procedure to retain IWSP documentation.	Partially Implemented	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
18	. Corrections should enforce its current procedure for personnel staff to conduct annual audits of the IWSP program.	Partially Implemented	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
19	. Corrections should train all employees, supervisors, and personnel staff who receive, approve, or issue the extra pay to ensure that they are familiar with the requirements of the IWSP procedure and Pay Differential 67.	Partially Implemented	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation

Report Number 2016-126

California Department of Social Services: Its Caregiver Background Check Bureau Lacks Criminal History Information It Needs to Protect Vulnerable Populations in Licensed Care Facilities (March 2017)

RECOMMENDATION	STATUS OF RECOMMENDATION	ENTITY
 To ensure that the Department of Social Services (Social Services) receives all necessary information for making exemption decisions, the Legislature should amend state law to require Justice to send Social Services all available sentencing information for all convictions. Additionally, the Legislature should amend state law to require Justice to send juvenile criminal history information related to serious and violent felony offenses as well as any other juvenile criminal history that Social Services identifies as valuable to its exemption reviews. 	Partially Implemented	Legislature

	RECOMMENDATION	STATUS OF RECOMMENDATION	ENTITY
2.	To ensure that any entity authorized by state or federal law to receive state or federal criminal history information subsequent to receiving the initial RAP sheet is informed of all criminal activity of an individual, the Legislature should amend state law to clearly direct Justice to transmit all convictions it receives to the entities authorized to receive subsequent criminal history and require Justice to obtain and transmit subsequent federal RAP sheets to all entities authorized to receive subsequent California criminal history information and to report to the Legislature periodically about its implementation efforts.	No Action Taken	Legislature
4.	To ensure that Social Services receives all appropriate criminal history information, Justice should immediately update its procedures to accurately reflect that staff should disseminate nonreferable arrests when there is a corresponding conviction and ensure that staff follow these updated procedures.	Fully Implemented	Department of Justice
18	. To ensure that Social Services receives criminal history information within 14 days of receiving an individual's fingerprint information, as state law requires, by July 2017 Justice should analyze its process, including delayed transmissions, implement changes to address problems it identifies, and regularly measure itself against the requirement to determine whether it is meeting its statutory requirement.	Pending	Department of Justice
19	To ensure that it has complete disposition information, Justice should coordinate with the Judicial Council at least once a year to share information about court reporting gaps and to determine the need to distribute additional information to courts about reporting requirements and the manner in which to report. In addition, Justice should reconvene its advisory committee and meet on a regular basis to discuss, at a minimum, improving the frequency and timeliness with which courts report dispositions to Justice and law enforcement agencies report arrest information to Justice.	Pending	Department of Justice
20	To ensure that it is receiving all arrest information from law enforcement agencies, at a minimum, Justice should consider trends in the number of arrest reports each law enforcement agency sends it and the number of reports that it might expect to receive from an agency given the agency's size, location, and reporting history. Whenever Justice identifies a law enforcement agency that it determines may not be reporting all required information, it should request that the agency forward all required arrest information.	Pending	Department of Justice

Report Number 2017-030

 $The \, State \, Bar \, of \, California: \, It \, Needs \, Additional \, Revisions \, to \, Its \, Expense \, Policies \, to \, Ensure \, That \, It \, Uses \, Funds \, Prudently \, (June \, 2017)$

	RECOMMENDATION	STATUS OF RECOMMENDATION	ENTITY
1.	To better align its compensation practices with those of comparable agencies, the State Bar should update and formalize its salaries and benefits policies by continuing its negotiations with the union to transition represented employees to an eight-hour workday and a 40-hour workweek, and to implement new salary and job classifications.	Pending	State Bar of California
2.	To better align its compensation practices with those of comparable agencies, the State Bar should update and formalize its salaries and benefits policies by implementing an eighthour workday and a 40-hour workweek, as well as new salary and job classifications, for its nonrepresented employees by July 2017.	Fully Implemented	State Bar of California
3.	To better align its compensation practices with those of comparable agencies, the State Bar should update and formalize its salaries and benefits policies by requiring a contribution rate to health care costs for nonrepresented employees that is equal to the contribution rate for represented employees by January 2018.	Fully Implemented	State Bar of California
4.	To better align its compensation practices with those of comparable agencies, the State Bar should update and formalize its salaries and benefits policies for executive employees hired on or after January 1, 2018, to require that contributions to post-retirement health care costs are at a rate equivalent to their contributions during employment at the State Bar.	Fully Implemented	State Bar of California
5.	To better align its compensation practices with those of comparable agencies, the State Bar should update and formalize its salaries and benefits policies by developing and adopting a formal policy by December 2017 to regularly compare staff compensation and benefits with those of comparable agencies.	Pending	State Bar of California

	RECOMMENDATION	STATUS OF RECOMMENDATION	ENTITY
6.	To assign purchasing cards only to appropriate staff, ensure that the State Bar's records of employees' credit limits reflect those established with the bank, and to verify that staff use purchasing cards only for allowable and necessary expenses, the State Bar should immediately develop a policy that requires justification of the business needs for employees to receive purchasing cards, and use this policy to limit the number of staff issued a purchasing card.	Pending	State Bar of California
7.	To assign purchasing cards only to appropriate staff, ensure that the State Bar's records of employees' credit limits reflect those established with the bank, and to verify that staff use purchasing cards only for allowable and necessary expenses, the State Bar should immediately restrict the use of purchasing cards to its original purpose, which was for low-dollar and frequently occurring purchases. For purchases above \$5,000, the State Bar should require the vendor to bill for payment.	Pending	State Bar of California
8.	To demonstrate its commitment to the board's prohibition of all State Bar spending on alcohol, the State Bar should immediately update its procurement manual to reflect this prohibition.	Fully Implemented	State Bar of California
9.	To ensure that its costs are reasonable and appropriate, the State Bar should update its meal and catering policy to align with the meal policy of the State's Executive Branch and should require individuals attending committee meetings for the State Bar to comply with standard meal per diem rates.	Partially Implemented	State Bar of California
10.	To make certain that the costs for sections events are reasonable and prudent, the State Bar should require that the sections follow the State Bar's meal per diem and lodging rates, and require the sections to limit expenses for events to only those activities that are reasonable and necessary. For off-site events, the State Bar should require the sections to follow the State Bar's existing policy of providing written justification of a significant business need to hold the event off-site and obtain approval from the executive director or chief operating officer.	Resolved	State Bar of California
11.	To ensure that its lobbying expenses are reasonable and cover only allowable activities, the State Bar should revise the terms of its pending lobbying contract to require that the lobbyists provide sufficiently detailed invoices that support the amounts they bill for their services.	Fully Implemented	State Bar of California
12.	To ensure that it contracts only for appropriate and necessary services from outside law firms at a prudent rate, the State Bar should put its informal practice into a written policy regarding its assessment of the need for outside counsel, including whether the State Bar's attorneys can provide the specified legal services.	Pending	State Bar of California
13.	To ensure that it contracts only for appropriate and necessary services from outside law firms at a prudent rate, the State Bar should put its informal practice into a written policy regarding its evaluation of the State Bar's past experiences with the law firms being considered.	Pending	State Bar of California
14.	To ensure that it contracts only for appropriate and necessary services from outside law firms at a prudent rate, the State Bar should put its informal practice into a written policy regarding its process to select the outside legal firms, including documentation of proposals from other prospective law firms and the costs it considers reasonable for the legal services.	Pending	State Bar of California
15.	To reduce its reliance on outside legal counsel, the State Bar should continue its efforts to hire staff to fill its remaining vacant attorney positions.	Pending	State Bar of California
16.	To increase transparency, the State Bar should disclose annually to the board a list of all contracts with outside law firms—including a description of the services provided, the need for such contracts, and the value and length of the contracts.	Pending	State Bar of California
17.	To better measure how well its attorney discipline program is meeting the State Bar's core mission to protect the public from attorney misconduct, the State Bar should, by December 2017, identify key goals and metrics for the attorney discipline system.	Pending	State Bar of California

Report Number 2016-131

California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation: It Must Increase Its Efforts to Prevent and Respond to Inmate Suicides (August 2017)

	RECOMMENDATION	STATUS OF RECOMMENDATION	ENTITY
1.	To provide additional accountability for Corrections' efforts to respond to and prevent inmate suicides and attempted suicides, the Legislature should require that Corrections report to it in April 2018 and annually thereafter on the following issues: 1) its progress toward meeting its goals related to the completion of risk evaluations in a sufficient manner; 2) its progress toward meeting its goals related to the completion of 72-hour treatment plans in a sufficient manner; 3) the status of its efforts to ensure that all mental health staff receive required training and mentoring related to suicide prevention and response; 4) the status of its efforts to fill vacancies in its mental health treatment programs, especially its efforts to hire and retain psychiatrists; 5) its progress in implementing the recommendations made by the special master's experts, the court-appointed suicide expert, and its own reviewers regarding inmate suicides and attempts and Corrections should include in its report to the Legislature the results of any audits it conducts as part of its planned audit process to measure the success of changes it implements as a result of these recommendations; 6) its progress in identifying and implementing mental health programs that may ameliorate risk factors associated with suicides at the prisons.	No Action Taken	Legislature
2.	Corrections should immediately require mental health staff to score 100 percent on risk evaluation audits in order to pass. If a staff member does not pass, Corrections should require the prison to follow its current policies by reviewing additional risk evaluations to determine whether the staff member needs to undergo additional mentoring.	Pending	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
3.	To ensure that it identifies inmates who are at risk of attempting suicide and determines the treatments needed to prevent them from doing so, Corrections should immediately reevaluate and revise its goals for the percentage of risk evaluations that mental health staff must complete on time and for the percentage of risk evaluations that must pass its risk evaluation audits. It should set revised goals that better take into consideration the importance of mental health staff completing adequate risk evaluations in a timely matter. Corrections should require prisons that perform below its revised goals to develop improvement plans.	Pending	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
4.	To improve the quality of its risk evaluations, by December 2017 Corrections should develop and incorporate into its electronic risk evaluation form prompts to aid mental health staff in completing adequate risk evaluations that meet all audit criteria.	Pending	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
5.	To minimize the number of inmates who spend more than 24 hours in alternative housing, Corrections should use the audit process it is developing to monitor the amount of time inmates spend in alternative housing and annually reassess its need for additional crisis beds.	Fully Implemented	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
6.	To ensure that prisons document the privileges, such as yard time, that inmates receive while in a crisis bed, Corrections should immediately require prisons to develop and formalize policies to record on their treatment plans the privileges inmates are allowed and receive while in a crisis bed.	Pending	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
7.	To ensure that prison staff conduct required checks of inmates placed on suicide precaution in a timely manner, Corrections should implement its automated process to monitor suicide precaution checks in its electronic health record system by the time it is implemented systemwide in October 2017. Further, Corrections should train staff on how to plan for and conduct staggered suicide precaution checks.	Pending	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
8.	To monitor prisons' compliance with its requirement that inmates in crisis beds receive daily progress notes, Corrections should implement monitoring of these notes electronically into its audit process by the time the electronic health record system is in use systemwide in October 2017. Corrections should require prisons that are out of compliance to develop and implement quality improvement plans, and it should follow up on the prisons' implementation of those plans.	Pending	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
9.	To ensure that prison staff appropriately respond to attempted suicides, Corrections should implement its proposed changes to its emergency response policies regarding cut-down kits by December 2017 and should include in its policies a method for monitoring prisons' compliance.	Pending	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation

	RECOMMENDATION	STATUS OF RECOMMENDATION	ENTITY
10.	To address the unique circumstances that may increase its female inmates' rates of suicide and suicide attempts, Corrections should Implement its planned same-sex domestic violence curriculum by December 2017.	Pending	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
11.	To address the unique circumstances that may increase its female inmates' rates of suicide and suicide attempts, Corrections should continue to explore additional programs that could address the suicide risk factors for female inmates.	Pending	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
12.	To ensure that all prison staff receive required training related to suicide prevention and response, Corrections should immediately implement a process for identifying prisons where staff are not attending required trainings and for working with the prisons to solve the issues preventing attendance.	Pending	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
13.	To ensure that trainers and risk evaluation mentors at all prisons are able to train staff effectively, Corrections should immediately begin requiring prisons to report the percentage of their trainers and mentors who have received training on how to conduct training and mentoring. It should work with prisons to ensure that all trainers and mentors receive adequate training.	Pending	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
14.	To maximize the value of its trainings related to suicide prevention and response, Corrections should ensure that starting in January 2018, its trainings include all content that the special master and its own policies require.	Pending	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
15.	To ensure that it has enough staff to provide mental health services to all inmates who require care, Corrections should review and revise its mental health staffing model by August 2018.	Pending	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
16.	To ensure that prisons comply with its policies related to suicide prevention and response, Corrections should continue to develop its audit process and implement it at all prisons by February 2018. The process should include, but not be limited to, audits of the quality of prisons' risk evaluations and treatment plans.	Pending	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
17.	To ensure that prisons can easily access Corrections' current policies related to mental health, Corrections should ensure that its program guide is current and complete as it works to incorporate the program guide into regulations. Corrections should immediately begin working with federal court monitors to draft regulations.	Pending	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
18.	To ensure that suicide prevention teams meet quorum requirements, Corrections should, starting January 2018, work with prisons that consistently fail to achieve a quorum to resolve issues that may be preventing the teams from having all required members present at meetings.	Pending	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
19.	To eliminate confusion regarding suicide prevention team meeting attendance, Corrections should immediately update its program guide to clarify who is required to attend suicide prevention team meetings, which attendees may send designees, and the extent to which staff may fill multiple roles when meeting quorum requirements.	Pending	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
20.	To ensure that suicide prevention teams exercise leadership at prisons, Corrections should immediately require them to use available information about critical factors—such as the number and nature of inmate self-harm incidents and the quality and compliance with the policy of risk evaluations and treatment plans—to identify systemic issues related to suicide prevention. Corrections should require the suicide prevention teams to assess lessons they can learn, create plans to resolve current issues, and prevent foreseeable problems in the future.	Pending	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
21.	To provide the public and relevant stakeholders with accurate information on suicides and suicide attempts in its prisons, Corrections should immediately require prison staff to work with mental health staff to reconcile any discrepancies on suicides and suicide attempts before submitting numbers to the COMPSTAT unit.	Pending	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
22.	To ensure that all its prisons provide inmates with effective mental health care, Corrections should continue to take a role in coordinating and disseminating best practices related to mental health treatment by conducting a best practices summit at least annually. The summits should focus on all aspects of suicide prevention and response, including programs that seek to improve inmate mental health and treatment of and response to suicide attempts. Corrections should document and disseminate this information among the prisons, assist prisons in implementing the best practices through training and communication when needed, and monitor and report publicly on the successes and challenges of adopted practices.	Pending	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation

RECOMMENDATION	STATUS OF RECOMMENDATION	ENTITY
23. In an effort to prevent future inmate suicide attempts, Corrections should implement its plan to review attempts with the same level of scrutiny that it uses during its suicide reviews. Corrections should require each prison's suicide prevention team to identify for review at least one suicide attempt per year that occurred at its prison. To ensure that the reviews include critical and unbiased feedback, Corrections should either conduct these reviews itself or require the prisons to review each other. These reviews should start in September 2017 and follow the same timelines as the suicide reviews, with the timeline beginning once the team identifies a suicide attempt for review.	Pending	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation

Report Number 2016-136

School Violence Prevention: School Districts, County Offices of Education, and the State Must Do More to Ensure That School Safety Plans Help Protect Students and Staff During Emergencies (August 2017)

	RECOMMENDATION	STATUS OF RECOMMENDATION	ENTITY
4.	The Legislature should require that the partnership between Education and Justice periodically review safety plan requirements to ensure that the plans keep pace with evolving school environments and updated educational research.	No Action Taken	Legislature
9.	To ensure that districts, county offices, and schools receive guidance on a variety of safety issues and to comply with state law, Education and Justice should resume their partnership activities, as required by state law. Further, the partnership should update the 2002 handbook— <i>Safe Schools:</i> A Planning Guide for Action—and distribute it to all districts and county offices. If Education or Justice determine the need for additional funds to implement the legislative recommendations or to reestablish the partnership's activities, they should request those funds from the Legislature.	Pending	Department of Justice

Report Number 12017-2

Investigations of Improper Activities by State Agencies and Employees: Inaccurate Attendance Records, Violation of State Laws, and Misuse of State Resources (October 2017)

RECOMMENDATION	STATUS OF RECOMMENDATION	ENTITY
 Corrections should issue a memo to all staff no later than November 2017, and annually thereafter, regarding the prohibition of raffles and the unauthorized sale of alcohol and ammunition. 	Fully Implemented	Department of Corrections and
regarding the promption of fames and the anaditorized sale of decoror and animalition.	mplemented	Rehabilitation

Report Number 2017-101

Concealed Carry Weapon Licenses: Sheriffs Have Implemented Their Local Programs Inconsistently and Sometimes Inadequately (December 2017)

	RECOMMENDATION	STATUS OF RECOMMENDATION	ENTITY
1.	To ensure that its concealed carry weapon (CCW) licensing decisions align with its CCW policy, Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department (Los Angeles) should only issue licenses to applicants after collecting documentation of specific, personal threats against the applicants so as to satisfy its definition of good cause. If Los Angeles believes that its public licensing policy does not include all acceptable good causes for a CCW license, then by March 2018 it should revise that policy and publish the new policy on its website. It should then immediately begin processing applications according to that revised policy.	*	Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department
2.	To ensure that it only issues licenses to individuals after receiving evidence of residency, firearms training, and good moral character that aligns with its policy, Los Angeles should only issue licenses after verifying that it has received this evidence. To avoid overlooking required evidence, Los Angeles should create procedures by March 2018 for its staff to follow to ensure that each CCW file contains the evidence its policy requires before issuing the license.	*	Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department

RECOMMENDATION	STATUS OF RECOMMENDATION	ENTITY
3. To ensure that staff are gathering consistent evidence from applicants to demonstrate residency, good moral character, and firearms training and are including which requirement applicants did not meet in its denial letters, by March 2018 Sacramento County Sheriff's Department (Sacramento) should create formal CCW processing procedures and train its staff to follow these procedures. These procedures should require staff to gather and evaluate the information the department believes is required to demonstrate that each of the criteria for a CCW license has been met, and they should also require staff to include which requirement applicants did not meet in its denial letters.	*	Sacramento County Sheriff's Department
4. To ensure that staff are following its newly established procedures and to identify any need for additional guidance, by March 2018 Sacramento should establish a review process wherein it regularly reviews a selection of license files and denied applications to determine whether its staff are collecting sufficient and consistent documentation in accordance with its policies and are appropriately including which requirement applicants did not meet in its denial letters.	*	Sacramento County Sheriff's Department
To ensure that its staff appropriately renew CCW licenses, by March 2018 San Diego County Sheriff's Department (San Diego) should establish a routine supervisory review of a selection of renewed licenses.	*	San Diego County Sheriff's Department
6. To ensure that it consistently obtains sufficient evidence to demonstrate that an applicant satisfies its requirements for a license, by March 2018 San Diego should develop guidance and train its staff on what good cause documentation staff should request from applicants. Further, it should train its staff regarding the expected documents for residency and training.	*	San Diego County Sheriff's Department
 To ensure that it provides all required information to Justice, Sacramento should immediately inform Justice when it revokes a CCW license, including when it receives a prohibition notice from Justice. 	*	Sacramento County Sheriff's Department
8. To ensure that it follows state law's requirements for revoking licenses, San Diego should immediately revoke CCW licenses and should then inform Justice that it has revoked licenses whenever license holders become prohibited persons. Additionally, San Diego should notify Justice when it suspends a license or a license is surrendered.	*	San Diego County Sheriff's Department
9. The Legislature should amend state law to clarify that licensing authorities can increase fees for CCW applications, renewals, and modifications above \$100, \$25, and \$10, the respective maximum amounts specified in state law, provided that the fee for an initial application does not exceed the authorities' actual costs and that the rate of increase for any of the fees does not exceed that of the CCPI.	*	Legislature
10. To ensure that it is only charging fees that state law allows, Los Angeles should immediately cease charging applicants fees in addition to its license processing fee. Los Angeles should reimburse applicants who paid the unallowable fees. Further, if Los Angeles believes its license fee does not recover its entire cost of processing an initial application, it should complete a cost study and, if appropriate, revise its fee according to the results of that study and the maximum allowed fees under state law.	*	Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department
11. To ensure that it is maximizing allowable revenue from the CCW program and reducing its program deficits, Sacramento should perform a cost study of its initial application processing and, on completion of the study, immediately increase its CCW license fees and begin charging the maximum amounts allowable under state law.	*	Sacramento County Sheriff's Department
12. To ensure that it maximizes allowable revenue from its CCW program, San Diego should immediately pursue increasing its initial, renewal, and amendment fees to the maximum amounts allowable under state law.	*	San Diego County Sheriff's Department

Report Number 2017-302

Judicial Council of California: It Needs to Follow Competitive Bidding Processes More Consistently and Establish Clear Guidance for Invoice Processing (December 2017)

	RECOMMENDATION	STATUS OF RECOMMENDATION	ENTITY
1.	To help ensure that it obtains the best value for the goods and services it purchases and that its staff take the steps necessary to comply with the judicial contracting manual, the Judicial Council should continue to reinforce with staff through management memos, training, or other formal means the need to: Ensure that the person with the appropriate level of authority approves purchases; obtain authorized approvers' signatures for noncompetitive procurements; properly document justification for noncompetitive procurements; and not exclude potential vendors from bidding based on assumptions about their prices.	*	Judicial Council of California
2.	To better align the judicial contracting manual with state requirements and to make certain that it receives the best value for services, the Judicial Council should update by March 2018 the judicial contracting manual's guidance on contract splitting and sole-source procurements to reflect the more specific definitions in the state contracting manual.	*	Judicial Council of California
3.	To ensure that Judicial Council staff have the information they need to process invoices appropriately and to comply with the judicial contracting manual, the Judicial Council should develop by June 2018 one document with clear invoice-processing procedures for its accounting staff. This document should define the steps for processing invoices related to different types of purchase agreements and common exceptions to the typical process, including instructions for handling invoices processed on behalf of other Judicial Branch entities.	*	Judicial Council of California
4.	By June 2018, the Judicial Council should fully implement the State Auditor's recommendation from 2013 related to controls over its information systems.	*	Judicial Council of California
5.	To prevent misinterpretation of policies governing its procurement practices, the Judicial Council should reissue its local manual by June 2018, incorporating all updates made since the 2011 version of the manual.	*	Judicial Council of California
6.	To help ensure that the Judicial Council complies with state reporting requirements related to conflicts of interest, it should report to the appropriate authority any staff who do not file statements of economic interests after reasonable attempts to prompt them to file, as described in guidance from the Fair Political Practices Commission. Further, the Judicial Council should complete its procedures to improve compliance and implement them beginning in January 2018.	*	Judicial Council of California

^{*} The status of recommendations for audits issued between November and December 2017 is based on the entity's initial response, which is included in the original audit report, available on the California State Auditor's (State Auditor) website: www.auditor.ca.gov.

 $^{^{\}dagger}$ As of December 31, 2017, the entity has not provided a response to the State Auditor.