Grade Separation Program

An Unchanged Budget and Project Allocation Levels Established More Than 30 Years Ago May Discourage Local Agencies From Taking Advantage of the Program

REPORT NUMBER 2007-106, SEPTEMBER 2007

California Department of Transportation's response as of November 2007

The Joint Legislative Audit Committee (audit committee) requested that the Bureau of State Audits (bureau) perform an audit of the funding and approval process required for state and local transportation agencies for grade separation projects. Specifically, the audit committee asked the bureau to assess the roles and responsibilities of the various agencies involved in the funding and approval of grade separation projects to determine if any duplication of effort or program exists. Further, the audit committee requested that the bureau determine whether the Grade Separation Program is being administered and operated in accordance with the appropriate statutes and regulations, and that it identify any obstacles that state and local agencies face in meeting the program's legislative goals.

We also were asked to identify the funding sources for the Grade Separation Program and to determine whether the program uses the sources available and whether funding levels are reasonable and consistent with other comparable programs. The audit committee asked that we identify any changes in statutes that would improve the program's administration or any alternative funding mechanisms that could facilitate meeting its legislative goals. In addition, we were asked to determine which local agencies have received state funding for grade separation projects and, to the extent possible, to review estimated and actual costs for the projects. We also were asked to review a sample of these projects to determine the reasons for any cost overruns, the efforts local agencies made in planning and funding the projects, best practices available to local agencies to improve projections and control costs, and whether all local agencies face similar issues with projecting and controlling costs.

Finding #1: Local agencies believe allocations are not sufficient to allow them to take advantage of the Grade Separation Program.

Once they have nominated a grade separation project to the Public Utilities Commission (Commission) and the project has been placed on the Commission's priority list, many local agencies we surveyed are not taking the additional steps to apply to the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) for funding under the Grade Separation Program. Many of these agencies indicated that they are not applying for this funding because they are having difficulty securing the funds to cover their portion of the costs of grade separation projects. We found that the portion of project costs that local agencies are expected to pay has increased dramatically over the past 30 years. According to data provided by the Commission, the average cost of a grade separation project increased from \$2.5 million in 1974 to more than \$26 million currently, while the annual budget of \$15 million for the Grade Separation Program has remained unchanged since 1974. A report

Audit Highlights . . .

Our review of the Grade Separation Program found that:

- » Although the average cost of a grade separation project has increased from \$2.5 million in 1974 to a current average of just more than \$26 million, the annual funding of \$15 million available for the Grade Separation Program has not changed since 1974.
- » Local agencies say they are experiencing difficulties securing the funding necessary to pay for their share of grade separation projects; thus, some are not nominating new projects to be included on the Public Utilities Commission's (Commission) priority list and many are not applying for funds for the projects already on the priority list.
- » A report prepared by the Commission in March 2007 showed that \$165 million is needed to provide funding for the same number of grade separation projects that \$15 million provided in 1974.
- » Additional funding will be available for grade separation projects from a bond measure approved by California voters in November 2006, which will provide a one-time amount of \$250 million to improve railroad crossing safety.
- » The California Department of Transportation does not always comply with state regulations when allocating supplemental funds to projects for which the final costs exceed the preliminary cost estimates.

prepared by the Commission showed that \$165 million is needed to provide funding for the same number of grade separation projects as \$15 million provided in 1974. However, some local agencies have been able to secure funding from other sources to pay for their projects without using funds from the Grade Separation Program. A recently approved bond measure will provide additional funding for grade separation projects. In addition to the proceeds from the bond measure, the State Transportation Improvement Program can also fund various local transportation projects including grade separation projects.

We recommended that in light of local agencies' limited participation in the Grade Separation Program, the Legislature should reconsider its intent for the program and the extent to which it wishes to continue assisting local agencies with their grade separation projects. Among possible courses of action, the Legislature could discontinue the program after the proceeds from the bond measure approved in November 2006 have been allocated and require local agencies to compete with a broader range of projects for funding available to them through other programs such as the State Transportation Improvement Program. Alternatively, the Legislature could continue the program and increase the annual budget of \$15 million and allocation limits per project because it desires to continue providing a specific source of funding focused on grade separation projects.

Legislative Action: Unknown.

Finding #2: Caltrans does not always follow regulations when allocating supplemental funds, and some regulations are inconsistent with statutes.

We found that Caltrans does not always comply with state regulations when allocating supplemental funds to projects for which the final costs exceed the preliminary cost estimates. For example, four of the six applications we reviewed did not include one or more of the required certifications, and two were missing a statement explaining in detail why the original allocation was insufficient. Additionally, Caltrans' current regulations are inconsistent with statutes; thus, applicants may not be aware of changes in law and may either choose not to submit an application or submit inconsistent applications.

To ensure that it administers the Grade Separation Program in compliance with state regulations, we recommended that Caltrans follow state regulations when making supplemental allocations. Further, to be consistent with statute, it should seek to revise current regulations to conform to recent amendments to statute.

Caltrans' Action: Partial corrective action taken.

Caltrans stated it has developed a checklist to verify that requests for supplemental allocations include all of the documentation required by the California Code of Regulations. Further, Caltrans stated its Division of Rail has assigned one of its staff to take the lead in revising the regulations to conform to current statutes and is working with Caltrans' legal office on plans to implement the regulation amendments.